


AD-A232 390

2

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant N00014-90-J-1193



TECHNICAL REPORT No. 42

Interface-Phonon-Mediated Magnetopolaronic Effect on Impurity Transition Energies in Quantum Wells

by

D. L. Lin, R. Chen and Thomas F. George

Prepared for publication

in

*Physical Review B (Rapid Communications)*



Departments of Chemistry and Physics  
State University of New York at Buffalo  
Buffalo, New York 14260

February 1991

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

91 2 27 028

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified			1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) UBUFFALO/DC/91/TR-42			7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION		
5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Depts. Chemistry & Physics State University of New York		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Fronczak Hall, Amherst Campus Buffalo, New York 14260			9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Grant N00014-90-J-1193		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Interface-Phonon-Mediated Magnetopolaronic Effect on Impurity Transition Energies in Quantum Wells					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D. L. Lin, R. Chen and Thomas F. George					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT		13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) February 1991	
15. PAGE COUNT 15					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Prepared for publication in <i>Physical Review B (Rapid Communications)</i>					
17. COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	QUANTUM WELLS		
			MAGNETOPOLARONIC EFFECT		
			HYDROGENIC IMPURITIES		
			INTERFACE-PHONON-MEDIATE		
			TRANSITION ENERGIES		
			INTERACTION GAP		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Electron interactions with interface phonon modes and strictly-confined bulk phonon modes are considered for the first time to calculate the resonant magnetopolaronic ls-2p <sub>+</sub> transition energy of a hydrogenic impurity in the quantum well of a double heterostructure. An interaction gap is predicted between the bulk LO and TO frequencies, in contrast to the Frohlich-type interaction. These results are in good agreement with recent experimental data.					
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. David L. Nelson			22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 696-4410		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

Interface-phonon-mediated magnetopolaronic  
effect on impurity transition energies in quantum wells

D. L. Lin, R. Chen and Thomas F. George  
Department of Physics and Astronomy  
State University of New York at Buffalo  
Buffalo, New York 14260

**Abstract**

Electron interactions with interface phonon modes and strictly-confined bulk phonon modes are considered for the first time to calculate the resonant magnetopolaronic  $1s-2p_+$  transition energy of a hydrogenic impurity in the quantum well of a double heterostructure. An interaction gap is predicted between the bulk LO and TO frequencies, in contrast to the Fröhlich-type interaction. These results are in good agreement with recent experimental data.

1990 PACS Numbers: 71.38.+i, 73.20.Dx, 71.55.Eq, 63.20.Kr

The interface and confined bulk phonon modes in heterostructures and superlattices have been discussed theoretically<sup>1</sup> and observed experimentally<sup>2</sup> in the past. Only recently, optical phonon modes supported by a semiconductor double heterostructure (DHS) have been solved independently in the long wavelength limit by two groups.<sup>3,4</sup> It is found that there exist two types of phonon modes, confined bulk modes and interface modes. The confined modes can be either longitudinal optical (LO) or transverse optical (TO) with frequencies  $\omega_L$  and  $\omega_T$  identical to those of the bulk excitations, while the interface (IN) modes can have frequencies between  $\omega_L$  and  $\omega_T$ . Eigenvectors and dispersion relations for all these modes can be found in Refs. 3 and 4. The Hamiltonian operator describing the electron-optical-phonon interaction has also been derived to study the polaron mobility and magnetophonon resonance spectra,<sup>4</sup> and the polaronic states in a DHS.<sup>5</sup> The importance of interface modes are clearly demonstrated in these calculations.

The resonant magnetopolaron effect on the  $1s-2p_+$  transition energy is found to be well below  $\omega_L$  in far-infrared photoconductivity measurements<sup>6</sup> carried out for a donor impurity doped at the center of GaAs quantum wells in a GaAs/AlGaAs multiple-quantum-well (MQW) structure, in contrast to the bulk case which has been quantitatively accounted for.<sup>7</sup> As the electron does not couple to TO phonons, the data have been regarded as a significant deviation from reasonable expectations based on the Fröhlich model of interaction with the bulk GaAs zone-center LO phonons, both in magnitude and in field dependence. The experiment has been improved and extended recently in a series of measurements.<sup>8</sup> The data appear to deviate smoothly from the calculated transition energy in the absence of electron-phonon interactions, and the deviation is generally smaller than what is reported in Ref. 6. In

addition, two gaps are observed and many more points are measured above the first gap in qualitative agreement with our theoretical results.

We report, in this communication, a calculation of the  $1s-2p_z$  transition energy as a function of the applied magnetic field for a magnetopolaron bound to a hydrogenic impurity in a double heterostructure (DHS) i.e., a single quantum well with finite barriers. The electron-phonon interaction Hamiltonian derived in Refs. 4 and 5 is treated as a perturbation on the hydrogen-like atom confined in a quantum well under strong magnetic fields. Since the unperturbed system cannot be solved exactly, a variational calculation has been performed with properly chosen trial wave functions. The calculation is actually quite complicated and we can only present the results along with a brief outline of the procedures. Detailed account of this work will be published elsewhere.<sup>9</sup>

Consider a donor impurity at the center of the GaAs quantum well of width  $d$  in a GaAs/AlGaAs DHS system. A magnetic field is applied along the growth direction. For convenience, we introduce the two-dimensional vectors  $\vec{\kappa}$  and  $\vec{\rho}$  such that  $\vec{k} = (\vec{\kappa}, q)$  and  $\vec{r} = (\vec{\rho}, z)$  for the phonon momentum and electron position, respectively. The electron momentum is denoted by  $\vec{k}_e = (\vec{k}_\parallel, k_z)$ . In the absence of electron-phonon interactions, the Hamiltonian of the impurity is given by

$$H_e = \frac{1}{2m_e} \left( \vec{p} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2 - \frac{e^2}{\epsilon_0 r} + V_B(z) \quad (2a)$$

$$V_B(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & |z| \leq d/2 \\ V_0 & |z| > d/2 \end{cases} \quad (2b)$$



Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

The interaction Hamiltonian  $H_{e-ph}$  is taken directly from Ref. 5. It consists of two terms: the electron interaction with confined LO modes, and the interaction with interface modes. As has been shown in Ref. 5, contributions of lattice vibrations outside the well to the polaron effect are significant only when the well width  $d$  is extremely small. For  $d \geq 100 \text{ \AA}$ , they are completely negligible. Therefore we have

$$H_{e-ph} = H_{e-LO} + H_{e-IN} \quad (3a)$$

$$H_{e-LO} = - \sum_{\vec{\kappa}} e^{i\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\rho}} \left\{ \sum_{m=1,3,\dots} B_m(\kappa) \cos\left(\frac{m\pi}{d} z\right) [a_m(\vec{\kappa}) + a_m^\dagger(-\vec{\kappa})] \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{m=2,4,\dots} B_m(\kappa) \sin\left(\frac{m\pi}{d} z\right) [a_m(\vec{\kappa}) + a_m^\dagger(-\vec{\kappa})] \right\} \quad (3b)$$

$$H_{e-IN} = - \sum_{\vec{\kappa}, j} e^{i\vec{\kappa} \cdot \vec{\rho}} \left\{ B_{sj}(\kappa) \frac{\cosh(\kappa z)}{\cosh(\kappa d/2)} [a_{s,j}(\vec{\kappa}) + a_{s,j}^\dagger(-\vec{\kappa})] \right. \\ \left. + B_{aj}(\kappa) \frac{\sinh(\kappa z)}{\sinh(\kappa d/2)} [a_{a,j}(\vec{\kappa}) + a_{a,j}^\dagger(-\vec{\kappa})] \right\} \quad (3c)$$

where  $H_{e-LO}$  represents the electron interaction with confined bul. LO phonon modes in the quantum well where  $q = m\pi/d$  is quantized, and  $H_{e-IN}$  represents the electron interaction with interface phonon modes in the well. We have introduced in Eqs. (3) the creation (annihilation) operators  $a_m^\dagger(\vec{\kappa})$  ( $a_m(\vec{\kappa})$ ) for the confined modes and  $a_{sj,aj}^\dagger(\vec{\kappa})$  ( $a_{sj,aj}(\vec{\kappa})$ ) for the symmetric and antisymmetric interface phonon modes. They obey the commutation relations

$$[a_x(\vec{\kappa}), a_j^\dagger(\vec{\kappa}')] = \delta_{xj} \delta(\vec{\kappa} - \vec{\kappa}') \quad (4a)$$

$$[a_x^\dagger(\vec{\kappa}), a_j^\dagger(\vec{\kappa}')] = [a_x(\vec{\kappa}), a_j(\vec{\kappa}')] = 0 \quad (4b)$$

The normalization constants are given by

$$|B_m(\kappa)|^2 = \frac{1}{Ad} \frac{4\pi e^2 \hbar \omega_L}{\kappa^2 + (\pi/d)^2} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\infty 1}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{01}} \right) \quad (5a)$$

$$|B_{sj}(\kappa)|^2 = \frac{e^2}{A\kappa} \frac{\hbar \omega_{sj}(\vec{\kappa})}{\epsilon_1 \tanh(\kappa d/2) + \bar{\epsilon}_2} \quad (5b)$$

$$|B_{aj}(\kappa)|^2 = \frac{\pi e^2}{A\kappa} \frac{\hbar \omega_{aj}(\vec{\kappa})}{\epsilon_1 \coth(\kappa d/2) + \bar{\epsilon}_2} \quad (5c)$$

where A stands for the interface area,  $\epsilon_{\infty\nu}$  and  $\epsilon_{0\nu}$  denote the optic and static dielectric constants of material  $\nu$ , ( $\nu = 1$  for the well and  $\nu = 2$  for the barrier) and  $\bar{\epsilon}_\nu(\omega)$  is defined by

$$\frac{1}{\bar{\epsilon}_\nu(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_\nu(\omega) - \epsilon_{0\nu}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_\nu(\omega) - \epsilon_{\infty\nu}} \quad (6)$$

with the dielectric function of material  $\nu$  given by

$$\epsilon_\nu(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty\nu} (\omega_{L\nu}^2 - \omega^2) / (\omega_{T\nu}^2 - \omega^2) \quad (7)$$

We now proceed to calculate the transition energy by perturbation theory. For the unperturbed system, we take the hydrogenic impurity in the

well with a magnetic field applied normal to the interfaces. Thus  $H_0 = H_e$  and  $H_{e-ph}$  is treated as a perturbation. It is noted, however, that even the unperturbed problem is not exactly solvable. Thus we employ the variational method to determine the unperturbed energy levels. The trial wave function is taken to be

$$\psi = f(z) G(\rho, z, \phi) \quad (8)$$

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \cos(k_z z) & |z| \leq \frac{d}{2} \\ A \exp(-k'_z |z|) & |z| > d/2 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$G(\rho, z, \phi) = \rho^{|m|} \exp(i m \phi - \gamma \rho^2/4 - \gamma \xi^2 z^2/4) \quad (10)$$

where we have defined  $k_z = \sqrt{2m_e E_1/\hbar^2}$  and  $k'_z = \sqrt{2m_e (V_0 - E_1)/\hbar^2}$  with the first electron subband energy  $E_1$ , the parameter  $\gamma = \hbar \omega_c / 2R_y$  with the cyclotron frequency  $\omega_c = eB/m_e c$ , and the effective Rydberg  $R_y = m_e e^4 / 2\hbar^2$  for the impurity. The quantum number  $m$  specifies the impurity levels such that  $m = 1, 0, -1$  for the  $2p_+$ ,  $1s$ ,  $2p_-$  levels, respectively. The variational parameter  $\xi$  is determined by minimizing the energy of the level in question. All the other levels, for particular samples used in these measurements,<sup>8</sup> can be neglected. Hence the Hilbert space in our perturbation calculation is truncated to only the three states,  $1s$ ,  $2p_+$  and  $2p_-$ .

The energy levels are calculated by means of Wigner-Brillouin perturbation theory to second order. The result is



$$\epsilon_i(B) = E_i(B) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n \neq i} \int d^2\kappa \frac{|\langle n | H_{e-ph} | i \rangle|^2}{\epsilon_i(B) - E_n(B) - \hbar\omega(\vec{\kappa})} \quad (11)$$

where  $E_i(B)$  stands for the corresponding unperturbed energy. As the matrix element is generally small compared to the transition energy, the perturbation energy in (11) becomes appreciable only when the electronic energy level difference in the denominator matches the phonon energy  $\hbar\omega(\vec{\kappa})$ . This implies immediately that the electron-phonon coupling has negligible influence on the  $1s$  energy level. Hence it is sufficient to calculate  $\Delta E = \epsilon_{2p_+} - E_{1s}$  for the transition energy. Furthermore, we note that significant contribution from the second term in (11) is expected around  $\Delta E \approx E_{2p_-} - E_{1s} + \hbar\omega_L$  for the three-level resonance, as well as around  $\Delta E \approx \hbar\omega_L$  for the two-level resonance.

As can be seen from Eqs. (3), the calculation of energy levels involves the evaluation of four matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\gamma) &= \langle 2p_+, 0_m | H_{e-LO} | 1s, 1_m \rangle \\ M_2(\gamma) &= \langle 2p_+, 0_j | H_{e-IN} | 1s, 1_j \rangle \\ M_3(\gamma) &= \langle 2p_+, 0_m | H_{e-LO} | 2p_-, 1_m \rangle \\ M_4(\gamma) &= \langle 2p_+, 0_j | H_{e-IN} | 2p_-, 1_j \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the states  $|n\ell, N_m\rangle$  are specified by the atomic level  $n\ell$  and the number  $N$  of phonons of mode  $m$ . The explicit expressions for these matrix elements have been worked out in Ref. 9. Here we just present the results as functions of the applied field in Fig. 1. It is observed that in both the two-level and

three-level resonance cases, the interface phonon modes generally result in larger matrix elements, and that  $M_4$  is the largest and is generally 3 to 4 times larger than the others. This is understandable, since the Bohr radius of the impurity is of the same order as the well width, and since the p-state wave functions extend out much farther in the xy-plane than the s-state wave function.

The energy of  $2p_+$  level is then given by

$$\epsilon_{2p_+}(\gamma) = E_{2p_+}(\gamma) + T(\gamma) \quad (13a)$$

$$T(\gamma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2\kappa \left\{ \frac{|M_1|^2}{\epsilon_{2p_+} - E_{1s} - \hbar\omega_L} + \frac{|M_2|^2}{\epsilon_{2p_+} - E_1 - \hbar\omega(\vec{\kappa})} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{|M_3|^2}{\epsilon_{2p_+} - E_{1s} - (E_{2p_-} - E_{1s} + \hbar\omega_L)} + \frac{|M_4|^2}{\epsilon_{2p_+} - E_{1s} - [E_{2p_-} - E_{1s} + \hbar\omega(\vec{\kappa})]} \right\} \quad (13b)$$

The evaluation of (13) is still very difficult. We adopt a numerical iteration procedure to compute the transition energy. Let  $X(\gamma) = \epsilon_{2p_+} - E_{1s}$  and  $\Gamma(\gamma) = E_{2p_+} - E_{1s}$ . Then Eq. (13) can be rewritten as

$$X(\gamma) = \Gamma(\gamma) + T(\gamma, X) \quad (14)$$

The function  $\Gamma(\gamma)$  represents the transition energy in the absence of the electron-phonon interactions and is already known from the variational calculations. Therefore, we start the iteration with  $X = \Gamma(\gamma)$  in  $T$  for a

fixed  $B$  which determines the parameter  $\gamma$ . A new  $X$  value is obtained from (14) and employed to compute a new  $T$ . The procedure goes on until self-consistency is achieved. The iteration procedure repeats when  $B$  changes and eventually the transition energy is found as a function of  $B$ .

Results of our numerical computation are presented in Fig. 2 in which the  $1s-2p_+$  transition energy is plotted as a function of  $B$ . The parameters used in the numerical work are  $d = 125 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $m_e = 0.067 m_0$ ,  $a_0 = 98.7 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $R_y = 5.83 \text{ meV}$  and  $V_0 = 230 \text{ meV}$ . The solid line includes the effect of the nonparabolic band mass<sup>10</sup> and the dashed dotted line does not. The dashed line represents the transition energy from unperturbed energy levels. It is computed from Greene-Bajaj wave functions<sup>11</sup> with the nonparabolicity effect included. The horizontal lines are drawn only to indicate the gap positions. It is remarkable that the theoretical curve breaks into three branches separated by two gaps, in good qualitative agreement with experimental data. A comparison of the theory with experiments can be found in Ref. 8. The pinning effect, or the unperturbed energy level repulsion, is apparently a result of the strong resonance interaction when the denominator of the second-order perturbation energy vanishes. As the electron does not interact with TO phonons, the appearance of the gaps can only be attributed to the coupling of the electron with interface phonons which oscillate at frequencies between the bulk  $\omega_T$  and  $\omega_L$ .

Further studies, both theoretical and experimental, are necessary for a complete understanding of the problem. The determination of the transition frequency from experimental data is difficult, as the observed intensity distribution in the resonance region deviates greatly from the Lorentz shape. Theoretical study of the influence of electron-phonon interactions on the transition probability is needed for detailed comparison with the experimental

line shapes and observed rapid decrease in intensity in this energy region. Furthermore, as we have noted previously, the trial function (11) has only one adjustable parameter. More accurate functions must be constructed, for more accurate results.

In conclusion, we assert that it is the interaction of the electron with interface phonon modes that change the polaronic properties in the reduced geometry. These modes should always be included in the interpretation of such experiments. Since the coupling constant of the bulk Fröhlich type cannot be clearly defined for the interface modes, polaronic phenomena observed in the reduced dimensionality should not be analyzed by varying the coupling strength in terms of the bulk Fröhlich interaction.

We are grateful to B. D. McCombe and J. P. Cheng for providing us with experimental data prior to publication. This research was supported in part by the U. S. Office of Naval Research.

## References

1. R. Lassnig, Phys. Rev. B 30, 7132 (1984); L. Wendler, Phys. Status Solidi B 129, 513 (1985); R. E. Camley and D. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1695 (1984); K. Huang and B. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B 38, 13377 (1988).
2. A. K. Sood, J. Menendez, M. Cardona and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 2111; 2115 (1985);
3. R. Chen, D. L. Lin and T. F. George, Phys. Rev. B 41, 1435 (1990).
4. N. Mori and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. B 40, 6175 (1989).
5. D. L. Lin, R. Chen and T. F. George, in Quantum Well and Superlattice Physics III, ed. by G. H. Döhler, E. S. Koteles and J. N. Schulman, Proc. Soc. Photo-Opt. Instrum. Eng. 1283, in press.
6. Y. H. Chang, B. D. McCombe, J. M. Mercy, A. A. Reeder, J. Ralston and G. A. Wicks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1408 (1988); S. Huant, W. Knap, G. Martinez and B. Etienne, Euro. Phys. Lett. 7, 159 (1988).
7. D. R. Cohn, D. M. Larsen and B. Lax, Phys. Rev. B 6, 1367 (1972).
8. J.-P. Cheng, B. D. McCombe and G. Brozak, the preceeding paper.
9. R. Chen, D. L. Lin and T. F. George, to be published.
10. S. Chaudhuri and K. K. Bajaj, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1803 (1984).
11. R. L. Greene and K. K. Bajaj, Phys. Rev. B 31, 913 (1985).

**Figure captions**

1. Absolute values of the matrix elements of the electron-phonon interactions calculated as functions of the applied magnetic field in the GaAs well of a GaAs/GaAlAs double heterostructure.
2.  $1s-2p_+$  transition energy as a function of the applied field  $B$ . The solid line represents results from the present theory including nonparabolic band mass, and the dashed-dotted line does not. The dashed line is the transition energy in the absence of electron-phonon interactions. It is calculated from Greene-Bajaj wave functions with the nonparabolicity correction.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2





FY90 Abstracts Distribution List for Solid State & Surface Chemistry

Professor John Baldeschwieler  
Department of Chemistry  
California Inst. of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91125

Professor John Eyler  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Florida  
Gainesville, FL 32611

Dr. Sylvia Johnson  
SRI International  
333 Ravenswood Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Professor Paul Barbara  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN 55455-0431

Professor James Garvey  
Department of Chemistry  
State University of New York  
Buffalo, NY 14214

Dr. Zakya Kafafi  
Code 6551  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, DC 20375-5000

Dr. Duncan Brown  
Advanced Technology Materials  
520-D Danury Rd.  
New Milford, CT 06776

Professor Steven George  
Department of Chemistry  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

Professor Larry Kesmodel  
Department of Physics  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, IN 47403

Professor Stanley Bruckenstein  
Department of Chemistry  
State University of New York  
Buffalo, NY 14214

Professor Tom George  
Dept. of Chemistry and Physics  
State University of New York  
Buffalo, NY 14260

Professor Max Lagally  
Dept. Metal. & Min. Engineeri:  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706

Professor Carolyn Cassady  
Department of Chemistry  
Miami University  
Oxford, OH 45056

Dr. Robert Hamers  
IBM T.J. Watson Research Center  
P.O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, N Y 10598

Dr. Stephen Lieberman  
Code 522  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

Professor R.P.H. Chang  
Dept. Matls. Sci. & Engineering  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, IL 60208

Professor Charles Harris  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor M.C. Lin  
Department of Chemistry  
Emory University  
Atlanta, GA 30322

Professor Frank DiSalvo  
Department of Chemistry  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor John Hemminger  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Irvine, CA 92717

Professor Fred McLafferty  
Department of Chemistry  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853-1301

Dr. James Duncan  
Federal Systems Division  
Eastman Kodak Company  
Rochester, NY 14650-2156

Professor Leonard Interrante  
Department of Chemistry  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, NY 12181

Professor Horia Metiu  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor Arthur Ellis  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706

Professor Roald Hoffmann  
Department of Chemistry  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor Larry Miller  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN 55455-0431

Professor Mustafa El-Sayed  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor Eugene Irene  
Department of Chemistry  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Professor George Morrison  
Department of Chemistry  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor Daniel Neumark  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor Robert Whetten  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor David Ramaker  
Department of Chemistry  
George Washington University  
Washington, DC 20052

Professor R. Stanley Williams  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. Gary Rubloff  
M.T.J. Watson Research Center  
P.O. Box 218  
Rye Town Heights, NY 10598

Professor Nicholas Winograd  
Department of Chemistry  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA 16902

Professor Richard Smalley  
Department of Chemistry  
Rice University  
P.O. Box 1892  
Houston, TX 77251

Professor Aaron Wold  
Department of Chemistry  
Brown University  
Providence, RI 02912

Professor Gerald Stringfellow  
Dept. of Maths. Sci.  
& Engineering  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Professor Vicki Wysocki  
Department of Chemistry  
Virginia Commonwealth University  
Richmond, VA 23284-2006

Professor Galen Stucky  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor John Yates  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburg, PA 15260

Professor H. Tachikawa  
Department of Chemistry  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824-0510

Professor William Unertl  
Dept. for Surface Sci.  
& Technology  
University of Maine  
Orono, ME 04469

Dr. Terrell Vanderah  
Dept. 3854  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, CA 93555

Professor John Weaver  
Dept. of Chem. Eng. & Mat. Sci.  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Professor Brad Weiner  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Puerto Rico  
Cape Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931